

Non-Examination Assessment Policy 2020/21

Reviewed: December 2020

Next Review: November 2021



Contents

Contents

Introduction	4
Purpose 4	
What are non-examination assessments?	4
Specialised assessor quality control	4
Procedures for planning and managing NEA's identifying staff roles and responsibilities	4
The basic principles	4
Task setting	5
Issuing of tasks	5
Task taking	6
Supervision	6
Task Feedback and Final Assessment	6
Resources	6
Word and time limits	6
Collaboration and group work	7
Authentication procedures	7
Presentation of work	7
Keeping materials secure	7
Task marking – externally assessed components	8
Conduct of externally assessed work	8
Final Submission to Exam Board	8
Task Marking/Standardisation – internally assessed components	9
Marking and annotation	9
Internal standardisation	9
Consortium arrangements	9
Submission of marks and work for moderation	10
Recognition of Prior Learning	10
RPL Assessment	11
Storage and retention of work after submission of marks	12
External moderation – the process	12
External moderation – feedback	13
Access arrangements	13
Special consideration and loss of work	13
Malpractice	14
Post-results services	14
Practical Skills Endorsement for the A Level Sciences - Designed for use in England	15
Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England	d 16

Private candidates	<u>,</u>
Qualification/Subject specific additional information	,
Management of issues and potential risks associated with NEAs	}

Introduction

This policy affects the delivery of subjects of reformed GCE and GCSE qualifications which contain a component(s) of non-examination assessment.

"The regulator's definition of an examination is very narrow and in effect any type of assessment that is not 'externally set and taken by candidates at the same time under controlled conditions' is classified as non-examination assessment (NEA). 'NEA' therefore includes, but is not limited to, internal assessment. Externally marked and/or externally set practical examinations taken at different times across centres are classified as 'NEA'."

[Definition taken directly from the JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments, Foreword]

This publication is further referred to in this policy as NEA

Purpose

The purpose of this policy, as defined by JCQ, is to

- cover procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments
- · define staff roles and responsibilities with respect to non-examination assessments
- manage risks associated with non-examination assessments

The policy will need to cover all types of non-examination assessment.

[NEA I]

What are non-examination assessments?

This is explained in NEA.

Non-examination assessments measure subject-specific knowledge and skills that cannot be tested by timed written papers.

There are three assessment stages and rules which apply to each stage. These rules often vary across subjects. The stages are:

- task setting;
- task taking;
- task marking.

[NEA I]

Specialised assessor quality control

As part of the Vocational (BTEC, WJEC and ABC) quality assurance procedures the Quality Nominee and Subject Staff submit their qualifications and experience to the awarding bodies as part of the Quality Review process.

Procedures for planning and managing NEA's identifying staff roles and responsibilities

The basic principles

Head of centre

- Returns a declaration (managed as part of. the National Centre Number Register annual update) to confirm awareness of, and that relevant centre staff are adhering to, the latest version of NEA
- Ensures that the centre's Non-examination Assessment policy is fit for purpose
- Ensures the centre's Internal Appeals procedures clearly detail the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against internal assessment decisions (centre assessed marks) and requesting a review of the centre's marking

Senior leaders

- Ensure the correct conduct of non-examination assessments (including endorsements) which comply with NEA and awarding body subject-specific instructions
- Ensure the centre-wide calendar records assessment schedules by the start of the academic year

Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

- Confirms with subject heads that appropriate awarding body forms and templates for non-examination assessments (including endorsements) are used by teachers and candidates
- Ensures appropriate procedures are in place to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers in line with awarding body criteria
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information given to candidates by subject teachers
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information is received and understood by candidates
- Where not provided by the awarding body, ensures a centre-devised template is provided for candidates to keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

Subject head/lead

- Ensures subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities within the non-examination assessment process
- Ensures NEA and relevant awarding body subject specific instructions are followed in relation to the conduct of non-examination assessments (including endorsements)
- Works with the QA lead/Lead internal verifier to ensure appropriate procedures are followed to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers

Subject teacher

- Understands and complies with the general instructions as detailed in NEA
- Where these may also be provided by the awarding body, understands and complies with the awarding body's specification for conducting non-examination assessments, including any subject-specific instructions, teachers' notes or additional information on the awarding body's website
- Marks internally assessed work to the criteria provided by the awarding body
- Ensures the exams officer is provided with relevant entry codes for subjects (whether the entry for the internally
 assessed component forms part of the overall entry code for the qualification or is made as a separate unit entry
 code) to the internal deadline for entries

Exams officer

- Signposts the annually updated JCQ publication Instructions for conducting NEA to relevant centre staff
- Carries out tasks where these may be applicable to the role in supporting the administration/management of nonexamination assessment

Task setting

Subject teacher

- Selects tasks to be undertaken where a number of comparable tasks are provided by the awarding body OR designs tasks where this is permitted by criteria set out within the subject specification
- Makes candidates aware of the criteria used to assess their work

Issuing of tasks

Subject teacher

- Determines when set tasks are issued by the awarding body
- Identifies date(s) when tasks should be taken by candidates
- Accesses set tasks in sufficient time to allow planning, resourcing and teaching and ensures that materials are stored securely at all times

Task taking

Supervision

Subject teacher

- Checks the awarding body's subject-specific requirements ensuring candidates take tasks under the required conditions and supervision arrangements
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to enable the work of a candidate to be authenticated
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to ensure the work a candidate submits is their own
- Is confident where work may be completed outside of the centre without direct supervision, that the work produced is the candidate's own
- Where candidates may work in groups, keeps a record of each candidate's contribution
- Ensures candidates are aware of the current JCQ documents Information for candidates non-examination assessments and Information for candidates Social Media
- Ensures candidates understand and comply with the regulations in relevant JCQ documents Information for candidates

Task Feedback and Final Assessment

Subject teacher

- As relevant to the subject/component, advises candidates on relevant aspects before candidates begin working on a
 task
- Provide candidates with the relevant dates to complete the task:
 - Initial assessment date
 - Re-draft opportunities
 - o Final candidate submission date
 - o Result Date
 - o Appeal Deadline
 - o Exam Board Deadline
- Will not provide candidates with model answers or outlines/headings specific to the task
- When reviewing candidates' work, unless prohibited by the specification, provides oral and written advice at a general level to candidates
- Allow candidates to revise and re-draft work after advice has been given at a general level for final assessment submission
- Records any assistance given beyond general advice and takes it into account in the marking or submits it to the
 external examiner
- Ensures when work has undergone final submission, candidates are not allowed to revise it

Resources

Subject teacher

- Refers to the awarding body's specification and/or associated documentation to determine if candidates have restricted/unrestricted access to resources when planning and researching their tasks
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are known and put in place
- Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to keep the work to be assessed, and any preparatory work, secure between any formally supervised sessions, including work that is stored electronically
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are understood and followed by candidates
- Ensures candidates understand that they are not allowed to introduce improved notes or new resources between formally supervised sessions
- Ensures that where appropriate to include references, candidates keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

Word and time limits

Subject teacher

Refers to the awarding body's specification to determine where word and time limits apply/are mandatory

Collaboration and group work

Subject teacher

- Unless stated otherwise in the awarding body's specification, and where appropriate, allows candidates to collaborate when carrying out research and preparatory work
- Ensures that it is possible to attribute assessable outcomes to individual candidates
- Ensures that where an assignment requires written work to be produced, each candidate writes up their own account
 of the assignment
- Assesses the work of each candidate individually

Authentication procedures

Subject teacher

- Where required by the awarding body's specification
 - ensures candidates sign a declaration confirming the work they submit for final assessment is their own unaided work
 - o signs the teacher declaration of authentication confirming the requirements have been met
- Keeps signed candidate declarations on file until the deadline for requesting reviews has passed or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later
- Provides signed candidate declarations where these may be requested by a ICQ Centre Inspector
- Where there may be doubt about the authenticity of the work of a candidate or if malpractice is suspected, follows
 the authentication procedures and malpractice information in NEA and informs a member of the senior leadership
 team
- Understands that if, during the external moderation process, it is found that the work has not been properly authenticated, the awarding body will set the mark(s) awarded by the centre to zero

Presentation of work

Subject teacher

- Obtains informed consent at the beginning of the course from parents/carers if videos or photographs/images of candidates will be included as evidence of participation or contribution
- Instructs candidates to present work as detailed in NEA unless the awarding body's specification gives different subject-specific instructions
- Instructs candidates to add their candidate number, centre number and the component code of the assessment as a header/footer on each page of their work

Keeping materials secure

Subject teacher

- When work is being undertaken by candidates under formal supervision, ensures work is securely stored between sessions (if more than one session)
- When work is submitted by candidates for final assessment, ensures work is securely stored
- Follows secure storage instructions as defined in NEA 4.8
- Takes sensible precautions when work is taken home for marking
- Stores internally assessed work, including the sample returned after awarding body moderation, securely until all possible post-results services have been exhausted
- If post-results services have not been requested, returns internally assessed work to candidates (if requested by a candidate) after the deadline for requesting a review of results for the relevant series
- If post-results services have been requested, returns internally assessed work to candidates (if requested by a candidate) once the review of results and any subsequent appeal has been completed
- Reminds candidates of the need to keep their own work secure at all times and not share completed or partially completed work on-line, on social media or through any other means (Reminds candidates of the contents of the JCQ document Information for candidates – Social Media)
- Where work is stored electronically, liaises with the IT Manager to ensure the protection and back-up of candidates' work and that appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access to it between sessions

Understands that during the period from the submission of work for formal assessment until the deadline for requesting
a review of results, copies of work may be used for other purposes, provided that the originals are stored securely as
required

IT Manager

- Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates' work where work is stored electronically
- Restricts access to this material and utilises appropriate security safeguards such as firewall protection and virus scanning software
- Employs an effective back-up strategy so that an up to date archive of candidates' evidence is maintained
- Considers encrypting any sensitive digital media to ensure the security of the data stored within it and refers to awarding body guidance to ensure that the method of encryption is suitable

Task marking – externally assessed components

Conduct of externally assessed work

Subject teacher

- Liaises with the exams officer regarding the arrangements for any externally assessed components of a specification
 which must be conducted within a window of dates specified by the awarding body and according to JCQ Instructions
 for conducting examinations
- Liaises with the Visiting Examiner where this may be applicable to any externally assessed component

Exams officer

- Arranges timetabling, rooming and invigilation where and if this is applicable to any externally assessed nonexamination component of a specification
- Conducts the externally assessed component within the window specified by the awarding body and according to JCQ Instructions for conducting examinations

Final Submission to Exam Board

Subject teacher

- Provides the attendance register to a Visiting Examiner
- Ensures the work has been completed following requirements of the qualification specification and submitted in the correct format
- Ensures learners include the correct qualification declaration form fully completed and signed as requested by the examination board
- Include a centre declaration and grade criteria
- Deliver to the Exams officer in the correct order and format as required by the examiner along with submission details

- Provides the attendance register to the subject teacher where the component may be assessed by a Visiting Examiner
- Ensures the awarding body's attendance register for any externally assessed component is completed correctly to show candidates who are present and any who may be absent
- Where candidates' work must be dispatched to an awarding body's examiner, ensures the completed attendance register accompanies the work
- Keeps a copy of the attendance register until after the deadline for review of results for the exam series
- Packages the work as required by the awarding body and attaches the examiner address label
- Ensures that the package in which the work is despatched is robust and securely fastened
- Dispatches the work to the awarding body's instructions by the required deadline

Task Marking/Standardisation – internally assessed components

Marking and annotation

Head of centre

• Ensures where a teacher is teaching, preparing and assessing a candidate with whom they have a close relationship e.g. members of their family (which includes step-family, foster family and similar close relationships) or close friends and their immediate family (e.g. son/daughter) a conflict of interest is declared to the awarding body and the marked work of the child submitted for moderation, whether it is part of the moderation sample or not

Subject head/lead

Sets timescales for teachers to inform candidates of their centre-assessed marks that will allow sufficient time for a
candidate to appeal an internal assessment decision/request a review of the centre's marking prior to the marks being
submitted to the awarding body external deadline

Subject teacher

- · Accesses awarding body training/updates as required to ensure familiarity with the mark scheme/marking process
- Marks candidates' work in accordance with the marking criteria provided by the awarding body
- Annotates candidates' work as required to facilitate internal standardisation of marking and enable external moderation to check that marking is in line with the assessment criteria
- · Informs candidates of their marks which could be subject to change by the awarding body moderation process
- Ensures candidates are informed to the timescale set by the subject lead or as indicated in the centre's internal
 appeals procedure to enable an internal appeal/request for a review of marking to be submitted by a candidate and the
 outcome known before final marks are submitted to the awarding body

Internal standardisation

Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

- Ensures that internal standardisation of marks across assessors and teaching groups takes place as required and to sequence
- Support staff not familiar with the mark scheme (e.g. NQTs, supply staff etc.)
- Ensures accurate internal standardisation for example by
 - o obtaining reference materials at an early stage in the course
 - holding a preliminary trial marking session prior to marking
 - o carrying out further trial marking at appropriate points during the marking period
 - o after most marking has been completed, holds a further meeting to make final adjustments
 - o making final adjustments to marks prior to submission
 - retaining work and evidence of standardisation
- Retains evidence that internal standardisation has been carried out

Subject teacher

- Indicates on work (or cover sheet) the date of marking
- Marks to common standards
- Keeps candidates work secure until after the closing date for review of results for the series concerned or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later

Consortium arrangements

Subject head/lead

- Ensures a consortium co-ordinator is nominated (where this may be required as the consortium lead)
- If the consortium lead, liaises with the exams officer to ensure the relevant awarding body is informed that the centre
 is part of a consortium by submitting Form JCQ/CCA Centre consortium arrangements for centre-assessed work for
 each exam series affected
- Ensures procedures for internal standardisation as a consortium are followed

Subject teacher

Provides marks to the exams officer to the internal deadline

- Provides the moderation sample to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- Retains all candidates' work in the consortium until after the deadline for reviews of results for the exam series concerned or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later

Exams officer

- Where the centre is the consortium lead
 - o submits an online notification of Centre consortium arrangements for centre-assessed work to the relevant awarding body through the Centre Admin Portal (CAP) by no later than the published deadline for each exam series affected
 - o submits marks for home centre candidates to the awarding body deadline
 - liaises with the other exams officers in the consortium to arrange despatch of a single moderation sample to the awarding body deadline

Submission of marks and work for moderation

Subject teacher

- Inputs and submits marks online via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping a record of the marks awarded to the external deadline/Provides marks to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors
- Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the awarding body moderator by the external deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/Provides the moderation sample to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- Ensures that where a candidate's work has been facilitated by a scribe or practical assistant, the relevant completed
 cover sheet is securely attached to the front of the work and sent to the moderator in addition to the sample
 requested
- Ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required
- Submits any supporting documentation required by the awarding body/Provides the exams officer with any supporting
 documentation required by the awarding body

Exams officer

- Inputs and submits marks online via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping a record of the marks submitted
 to the external deadline/Confirms with subject teachers that marks have been submitted to the awarding body
 deadline
- Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors
- Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the moderator by the awarding body deadline, keeping a
 record of the work submitted/Confirms with Subject teacher that the moderation sample has been submitted to the
 awarding body deadline
- Ensures that for postal moderation
 - o work is dispatched in packaging provided by the awarding body
 - o moderator label(s) provided by the awarding body are affixed to the packaging
 - o proof of dispatch is obtained and kept on file until the successful issue of final results
- Through the subject teacher, ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required
- Through the subject teacher, submits any supporting documentation required by the awarding body

Recognition of Prior Learning

Recognition of Prior Learning (RPL) is defined as an opportunity for learners to present performance or accredited knowledge evidence which comes from a period prior to their registration. This is evidence of earlier learning and achievement towards part of a qualification.

For example, if a learner has started a vocational qualification elsewhere (i.e. an equivalent level qualification (i.e. BTEC) or the first year of RSL qualifications) and wishes to transfer the previous credits.

Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

- Oversee the RPL procedure for quality control by meeting with the Subject Lead
- Where required by the awarding body's specification, acknowledges that RPL can be only be applied to internally assessed parts of vocational qualifications.
 - o It cannot be applied to:
 - Graded Music examinations.
 - GCSE examinations
 - A Level Examinations
 - Performing Arts Awards examinations.
 - Parts of externally assessed units in vocational qualifications.
 - It can be applied to:
 - Full units in vocational qualifications (including those externally assessed)
 - Part of internally assessed elements of vocational qualifications

RPL does not apply to qualifications which identify the achievement of specific qualifications as a minimum entry requirement.

RPL Assessment

Subject head/lead

Ensures the criteria for all available courses are displayed within the prospectus and website and that all prospective learners will have the opportunity to discuss with the teaching staff the process to apply for RPL.

Consorts with the Teaching staff and QA Lead to ensure the process for the assessment of RPL follows the awarding bodies' specification.

- Accepts the following evidence for RPL:
 - Unit certificates
 - Certificates for full qualifications
- Ensures that when presenting a certificate for RPL, the learner will need to demonstrate their knowledge, skills and understanding to prevent the need to repeat the assessment or course.
- Learners' prior certificated learning can be used as evidence for assessment criteria. Copies are only acceptable as evidence where the original certificates have been seen and countersigned.

 (Certificated is defined as the learner having passed a test or assessment and met the standard.)
- When using certificates for RPL, the centre will cross reference the work between the two standards, (the qualification/unit certificated and the qualification registered on).
- Evidence of previous learning or achievement, like other evidence, will be rigorously subjected to the assessment of
 its:
 - Validity: does the evidence demonstrate that the demands of the assessment criteria/learning outcome has been met?
 - Currency: does the evidence demonstrate that demands of the specification have been met and are up-todate? See below for further guidance.
 - O Authenticity: can the evidence be unequivocally attributed to the learner?
 - Sufficiency: is there enough evidence to fully meet the criteria/outcome

Other types of evidence

Ensures that the evidence gathered needs to meet the standards of the specification that it will be used for

- Evidence must be confirmed as valid, current, sufficient and authentic and subjected to the same rigour as any other type of evidence prior to acceptance.
 - Evidence from a learner's past experience could include:
 - Paid, Community or voluntary work
 - Education and training
 - Further evidence may include:
 - Evidence of workplace practice, confirmed by workplace managers.
 - Past portfolios of evidence created by the learner which remains current.
 - Reports created by the learner and confirmed as authentic.
 - Expert witness testimonies (i.e. workplace managers, specialists, lecturers/teachers)
 - Professional discussions conducted with the learner to confirm their knowledge/skills
 - New assignment briefs or tasks that have been created to fill any gaps in the evidence presented.

Currency

- Ensures the currency of knowledge and practice will be assessed.
- The centre accept certificates not older than 2 years
 - o It is deemed that the knowledge and skills of the learner will no longer be current after this time.
 - Significant changes in practice or technology in under 2 years must also be considered to ensure that the assessment of the learner's practice is current.
 - o All Awarding Organisations require confirmation that currency and validity has been assessed
 - RPL should be subject to the same assessment processes as other forms of evidence and be subject to formal review and feedback.

Subject teacher

- Ensures unit content and any prior learning is discussed prior to registration
 - o Refer to Subject Lead and QA the possibility of RPL
 - o Explain the procedure to the learner
 - o Request assessment evidence/certification from the learner or prior learning facility
 - Start the documented process for RPL
 - o Feedback to the learner
- Informs Exams Officer of RPL
 - o transfer between centers may be applicable
- Where responsible ensures that all RPL is mapped to individual assessment criteria to the appropriate/corresponding assessment criteria in RSL qualifications.
- Notifies the learners which assessment criteria has been fully met or partially met and criteria that needs to be completed to achieve the unit.
- Keeps a full record of the mapping for quality assurance purposes.
 - o RPL can only be used for those assessment criteria that are fully met.
- Ensures that within the mapping:
 - o The assessment criteria matches, or sufficient evidence is shown that the learner has achieved the criteria.
 - o Grading criteria is not used unless the learner has met all assessment criteria.
- Evidence how and why an individual learner has achieved through RPL needs to have a substantial audit trail and is not
 a shortcut.
- Feed back to QA/Subject Lead

Learners are able to appeal the assessment decision for RPL in the same way they can any other assessment decision by use of the centres Appeals and Complaints policy.

Certificates can only be claimed when the appropriate quality assurance processes are completed successfully.

Storage and retention of work after submission of marks

Subject teacher

- Keeps a record of names and candidate numbers for candidates whose work was included in the moderation sample
- Retains all marked candidates' work (including any sample returned after moderation) under secure conditions for the required retention period
- In liaison with the IT Manager, takes steps to protect any work stored electronically from corruption and has a backup procedure in place
- If retention is a problem because of the nature of the work, retains some form of evidence such as photos, audio or media recordings

Exams officer

• Ensures any sample returned after moderation is logged and returned to the subject teacher for secure storage and required retention

External moderation – the process

Subject teacher

- Ensures that awarding body or its moderator receive the correct samples of candidates' work
- Where relevant, liaises with the awarding body/moderator where the moderator visits the centre to mark the sample
 of work
- Complies with any request from the moderator for remaining work or further evidence of the centre's marking

External moderation – feedback

Subject head/lead

- · Checks the final moderated marks when issued to the centre when the results are published
- Checks moderator reports and ensures that any remedial action, if necessary, is undertaken before the next examination series

Exams officer

- Accesses or signposts moderator reports to relevant staff
- Takes remedial action, if necessary, where feedback may relate to centre administration

Access arrangements

Subject teacher

 Works with the ALS lead/SENCo to ensure any access arrangements for eligible candidates are applied to assessments

Additional Learning Support (ALS lead/Special educational needs coordinator (SENCo)

- Follows the regulations and guidance in the JCQ publication Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments in relation to non-examination assessments including Reasonable Adjustments for GCE A-level sciences – Endorsement of practical skills
- Where arrangements do not undermine the integrity of the qualification and is the candidate's normal way of working, will ensure access arrangements are in place and awarding body approval, where required, has been obtained prior to assessments taking place
- Makes subject teachers aware of any access arrangements for eligible candidates which need to be applied to assessments
- Works with subject teachers to ensure requirements for access arrangement candidates requiring the support of a facilitator in assessments are met
- Ensures that staff acting as an access arrangement facilitator are fully trained in their role

Special consideration and loss of work

Subject teacher

- Understands that a candidate may be eligible for special consideration in assessments in certain situations where a candidate is absent and/or produces a reduced quantity of work
- Liaises with the exams officer when special consideration may need to be applied for a candidate taking assessments
- Liaises with the exams officer to report loss of work to the awarding body

- Refers to/directs relevant staff to the ICQ publication A guide to the special consideration process
 - Where a candidate is eligible, submits an application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site to the prescribed timescale
 - Where application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site is not applicable, submits the required form to the awarding body to the prescribed timescale
 - Keeps required evidence on file to support the application
- Refers to/directs relevant staff where applicable to Form 15 JCQ/LCW and where applicable submits to the relevant awarding body

Malpractice

Head of centre

- Understands the responsibility to immediately report to the relevant awarding body any alleged, suspected or actual
 incidents of malpractice involving candidates, teachers, invigilators or other administrative staff
- Is familiar with the JCQ publication Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments: Policies and Procedures
- Ensures that those members of teaching staff involved in the direct supervision of candidates producing non-examination assessment are aware of the potential for malpractice and ensures that teaching staff are reminded that failure to report allegations of malpractice or suspected malpractice constitutes malpractice in itself

Subject teacher

- Is aware of the JCQ Notice to Centres Sharing NEA material and candidates' work to mitigate against candidate and centre malpractice
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document Information for candidates non-examination assessments
- Ensures candidates understand the ICQ document Information for candidates Social Media
- Escalates and reports any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates to the head of centre

Exams officer

- Signposts the JCQ publication Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments: Policies and Procedures to the head of centre
- Ensures candidates understand what constitutes malpractice in non-examination assessments
- Signposts the JCQ Notice to Centres Teachers sharing assessment material and candidates' work to subject heads
- Signposts candidates to the relevant JCQ information for candidates documents
- Where required, supports the head of centre in investigating and reporting incidents of alleged, suspected or actual malpractice

Post-results services

Head of centre

• Ensures the centre's internal appeals procedures clearly detail the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against a centre decision not to support a review of results or an appeal

Subject head/lead

• Provides relevant support to subject teachers making decisions about reviews of results

Subject teacher

- Provides advice and guidance to candidates on their results and the post-results services available
- Provides the exams officer with the original sample or relevant sample of candidates' work that may be required for a review of moderation to the internal deadline

- Is aware of the individual post-results services available for externally assessed and internally assessed components of non-examination assessments as detailed in the JCQ publication Post Results Services (Information and guidance to centres...)
- Provides/signposts relevant centre staff and candidates to post-results services information
- Ensures any requests for post-results services that are available to non-examination assessments are submitted online via the awarding body secure extranet site to deadline

Practical Skills Endorsement for the A Level Sciences - Designed for use in England

Head of centre

- Returns an online 'Head of Centre declaration' at the time of the National Centre Number Register annual update
 confirming that all reasonable steps have been or will be taken to ensure that all candidates at the centre have had, or
 will have, the opportunity to undertake the prescribed practical activities
- Ensures new lead teachers undertake the required training provided by the awarding body on the implementation of the practical endorsement
- Ensures relevant centre staff liaise with all relevant parties in relation to arrangements for and conduct of the monitoring visit

Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

• Ensures arrangements are in place for implementing the requirements of the practical endorsement appropriately and applying standards appropriately

Subject head/lead

- Confirms understanding of the Practical Skills Endorsement for the A Level Sciences designed for use in England and
 ensures any relevant JCQ/awarding body instructions are followed
- Ensures where the centre intends to enter candidates for the first time for one or more of the A level subjects, the relevant awarding body will be contacted at the beginning of the course
- Undertakes any training provided by the awarding body on the implementation of the practical endorsement
- Disseminates information to subject teachers ensuring the standards can be applied appropriately
- Liaises with all relevant parties in relation to arrangements for and conduct of the monitoring visit

Subject teacher

- Ensures all the JCQ/awarding body requirements/instructions in relation to the endorsement are known, understood and followed
- Ensures the required arrangements for practical activities are in place
- Provides all the required centre records
- Ensures candidates provide the required records
- Provides any required information to the subject lead regarding the monitoring visit
- Assesses candidates using Common Practical Assessment Criteria (CPAC)
- Applies for an exemption where a candidate cannot access the practical endorsement due to a substantial impairment
- Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of candidates Pass or Not Classified assessment outcome/provides assessment outcomes to the exams officer to the internal deadline

- Accepts contact with the monitor and passes information to the subject lead for a visit to be arranged with at least two weeks notice
- Confirms with the subject teacher that assessment outcomes have been submitted to the awarding body to the
 external deadline/Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of candidates Pass or Not Classified
 assessment outcome

Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England

Head of centre

 Returns an online 'Head of Centre declaration' at the time of the National Centre Number Register annual update, confirming that all reasonable steps have been or will be taken to ensure that all candidates at the centre have had, or will have, the opportunity to undertake the Spoken Language endorsement

Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

Ensures the appropriate arrangements are in place for internal standardisation of assessments

Subject head/lead

- Confirms understanding of the Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for
 use in England and ensures any relevant JCQ/awarding body instructions are followed
- Ensures the required task setting and task taking instructions are followed by subject teachers
- Ensures subject teachers assess candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- Ensures for monitoring purposes, audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates are provided
- Subject teacher
- Ensures all the requirements in relation to the endorsement are known and understood
- Follows the required task setting and task taking instructions
- · Assesses candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- Provides audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates for monitoring purposes
- Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades (Pass, Merit, Distinction or Not Classified) and the storage and submission of recordings

Exams officer

Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades and recordings

Private candidates

Subject head/lead

- According to centre policy, confirms if private candidates (including distance learners and home educated candidates)
 are accepted by the centre for entry for subjects containing components of non-examination assessment (where the
 specification may be made available to private candidates by the awarding body)
- Ensures relevant staff in the centre administer all aspects of the non-examination assessment process for a private candidate, according to the awarding body's specification

Qualification/Subject specific additional information

Subject head/lead

Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades and recordings

GCE/GCSE Art & Design

JCQ's Instructions for conducting examinations are followed for the conduct of externally set components

BTEC

BTEC Instructions for conducting examinations are followed for the conduct of externally set components

Head of Centre	Examinations Officer
Date	Date

Management of issues and potential risks associated with NEAs

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
Centre staff malpractice	Records confirm that relevant centre staff are familiar with and follow:	
Centre stan maipractice	 the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments the JCQ document Notice to Centres - Sharing NEA material and candidates' work -	
	Records confirm that candidates are informed and understand they must not:	
	submit work which is not their own	
	make available their work to other candidates through any medium	
	allow other candidates to have access to their own independently soul	rced material
	assist other candidates to produce work	
Candidate malpractice	 use books, the internet or other sources without acknowledgement or 	
	 submit work that has been word processed by a third party without a 	cknowledgement
	 include inappropriate, offensive or obscene material Records confirm that candidates have been made aware of the JCQ documents non-examination assessments and Information for candidates – Social Media - https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/information-for-candidates-document 	
	not post their work on social media	s and understand arey must
Task setting		
Awarding body set task: IT	Awarding body key date for accessing/downloading set task noted prior to	IT MANAGER
failure/corruption of task details where set task details accessed	start of course	
from the awarding body online	IT systems checked prior to key date Alternative IT system used to gain access	
in our circ avvai amg body onime	Awarding body contacted to request direct email of task details	
Centre set task: Subject teacher	Ensures that subject teachers access awarding body training information,	SUBJECT
fails to meet the assessment criteria as detailed in the	practice materials etc.	TEACHER/LEAD LEARNER
specification	Records confirmation that subject teachers understand the task setting arrangements as defined in the awarding body's specification	LEARINER
opessaus	Samples assessment criteria in the centre set task	
Candidates do not understand	A simplified version of the awarding body's marking criteria described in the	SUBJECT TEACHER
the marking criteria and what	specification that is not specific to the work of an individual candidate or	
they need to do to gain credit	group of candidates is produced for candidates Records confirm all candidates understand the marking criteria	
	Candidates confirm/record they understand the marking criteria	
	, ,	
Subject teacher long term	See centre's exam contingency plan - Teaching staff extended absence at key	
absence during the task setting	points in the exam cycle	
stage		
A candidate (or parent/carer)	Ensures the candidate's presentation does not form part of the sample	
expresses concern about	which will be recorded	
safeguarding, confidentiality or	Contacts the awarding body at the earliest opportunity where unable to	
faith in undertaking a task such as	record the required number of candidates for the monitoring sample	
a presentation that may be		
recorded		

Issuing of tasks		
Awarding body set task not	Awarding body key date for accessing set task as detailed in the specification	SUBJECT
issued to candidates on time	noted prior to start of course	TEACHER/LEAD
	Course information issued to candidates contains details when set task will be	LEARNER
	issued and needs to be completed by	
	Set task accessed well in advance to allow time for planning, resourcing and	
	teaching	
The wrong task is given to	Ensures course planning and information taken from the awarding body's	SUBJECT
candidates	specification confirms the correct task will be issued to candidates	TEACHER/LEAD
	Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved	LEARNER/DEPUTY
		HEADTEACHER/HEAD OF CENTRE
Subject teacher long term	See centre's exam contingency plan - Teaching staff extended absence at key	OF CENTRE
absence during the issuing of	points in the exam cycle	
tasks stage	points in the extent cycle	
Tools to bin a		
Task taking Supervision		
Planned assessments clash with	Assessment plan identified for the start of the course	LEAD
other centre or candidate	Assessment dates/periods included in centre wide calendar	LEARNER/DEPUTY
activities		HEADTEACHER
Rooms or facilities inadequate for	Timetabling organised to allocate appropriate rooms and IT facilities for the	ASSISTANT
candidates to take tasks under	start of the course	HEADTEACHER
appropriate supervision	Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities insufficient for number of	IT MANAGER
	candidates	EXAM OFFICER
	Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time	
	(exam conditions do not apply)	
Insufficient supervision of	Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication	SUBJECT TEACHERS
candidates to enable work to be	Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and any other	LEAD LEARNERS
authenticated	specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to	EXAM OFFICER
	the supervision of candidates	HEAD OF CENTRE
	Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed	
A candidate is suspected of	in the centre's non-examination assessment policy Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for	DEPUTY
malpractice prior to submitting	conducting non-examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are followed	HEADTEACHER EXAMS
their work for assessment	An internal investigation and where appropriate internal disciplinary	OFFICER
their work for assessment	procedures are followed	OTTICER
Access arrangements were not	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special	SENCO
put in place for an assessment	consideration process (section 2), to determine the process to be followed to	EXAMS OFFICE
where a candidate is approved	apply for special consideration for the candidate	
for arrangements		
Advice and feedback		
Candidate claims appropriate	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to record all	LEAD LEARNER
advice and feedback not given by	information provided to candidates before work begins as part of the centre's	DEPUTY
subject teacher prior to starting	quality assurance procedures	HEADTEACHER
on their work	Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to	
	confirm monitoring activity	
	Full records kept detailing all information and advice given to candidates prior	
	to starting on their work as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given prior to starting on	
	their work	
Candidate claims no advice and	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to record all	LEAD LEARNER
feedback given by subject teacher	advice and feedback provided to candidates during the task-taking stage as	DEPUTY
during the task-taking stage	part of the centre's quality assurance procedures	HEADTEACHER
	Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to	
	confirm monitoring activity	
	Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback given to candidates during	
	the task-taking stage as appropriate to the subject and component	

	Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given during the task-taking	I
	stage	LIEADTE A COLUMN
A third party claims that	An investigation is conducted; candidates and subject teacher are interviewed	HEADTEACHER
assistance was given to	and statements recorded where relevant	LEAD LEARNER
candidates by the subject teacher	Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistance given	SUBJECT TEACHER
over and above that allowed in	Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the	
the regulations and specification	awarding body	
Candidate does not reference	Candidate is advised at a general level to reference information before work is	SUBJECT TEACHER
information from published	submitted for formal assessment	LEAD LEARNER
•		LEAD LEARINER
source	Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for candidates:	
	non-examination assessments	
	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is	
	regularly checked to ensure continued completion	
Candidate does not set out	Candidate is advised at a general level to review and re-draft the set out of	SUBJECT TEACHER
references as required	references before work is submitted for formal assessment	LEAD LEARNER
·	Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for candidates:	
	non-examination assessments	
	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is	
	regularly checked to ensure continued completion	
Candidae tatu da		CLIDICAT TEACHIED
Candidate joins the course late	A separate supervised session(s) is arranged for the candidate to catch up	SUBJECT TEACHER
after formally supervised task		
taking has started		
Candidate moves to another	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine what can be done depending	SUBJECT TEACHER
centre during the course	on the stage at which the move takes place	LEAD LEARNER
An excluded pupil wants to	The awarding body specification is checked to determine if the specification is	DEPUTY
complete his/her non-	available to a candidate outside mainstream education	HEADTEACHER
examination assessment(s)	If so, arrangements for supervision, authentication and marking are made	SUBJECT TEACHER
chailillation assessment(s)		JODJECT TEACHER
B	separately for the candidate	
Resources		
A candidate augments notes and	Preparatory notes and the work to be assessed are collected in and kept	SUBJECT TEACHER
resources between formally	secure between formally supervised sessions	IT MANAGER
supervised sessions	Where memory sticks are used by candidates, these are collected in and kept	
	secure between formally supervised sessions	
	Where work is stored on the centre's network, access for candidates is	
	restricted between formally supervised sessions	
A candidate fails to acknowledge	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is	SUBJECT TEACHER
_	, , ,	JODJECT TEXTOTIEN
sources on work that is	checked to confirm all the sources used, including books, websites and	LEAD LEARNER
submitted for assessment	audio/visual resources	
	audio/visual resources Awarding body guidance is sought on whether the work of the candidate	
	audio/visual resources	
	audio/visual resources Awarding body guidance is sought on whether the work of the candidate should be marked where candidate's detailed records acknowledges sources appropriately	
	audio/visual resources Awarding body guidance is sought on whether the work of the candidate should be marked where candidate's detailed records acknowledges sources appropriately Where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's records, awarding body	
	audio/visual resources Awarding body guidance is sought on whether the work of the candidate should be marked where candidate's detailed records acknowledges sources appropriately	
	audio/visual resources Awarding body guidance is sought on whether the work of the candidate should be marked where candidate's detailed records acknowledges sources appropriately Where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's records, awarding body	
	audio/visual resources Awarding body guidance is sought on whether the work of the candidate should be marked where candidate's detailed records acknowledges sources appropriately Where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's records, awarding body guidance is sought and/or a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body	
submitted for assessment Word and time limits	audio/visual resources Awarding body guidance is sought on whether the work of the candidate should be marked where candidate's detailed records acknowledges sources appropriately Where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's records, awarding body guidance is sought and/or a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body for the candidate	LEAD LEARNER
word and time limits A candidate is penalised by the	audio/visual resources Awarding body guidance is sought on whether the work of the candidate should be marked where candidate's detailed records acknowledges sources appropriately Where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's records, awarding body guidance is sought and/or a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body for the candidate Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to	
Word and time limits A candidate is penalised by the awarding body for exceeding	audio/visual resources Awarding body guidance is sought on whether the work of the candidate should be marked where candidate's detailed records acknowledges sources appropriately Where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's records, awarding body guidance is sought and/or a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body for the candidate Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if word or time limits are mandatory	LEAD LEARNER
word and time limits A candidate is penalised by the	audio/visual resources Awarding body guidance is sought on whether the work of the candidate should be marked where candidate's detailed records acknowledges sources appropriately Where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's records, awarding body guidance is sought and/or a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body for the candidate Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if word or time limits are mandatory Where limits are for guidance only, candidates are discouraged from	LEAD LEARNER
Word and time limits A candidate is penalised by the awarding body for exceeding	audio/visual resources Awarding body guidance is sought on whether the work of the candidate should be marked where candidate's detailed records acknowledges sources appropriately Where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's records, awarding body guidance is sought and/or a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body for the candidate Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if word or time limits are mandatory Where limits are for guidance only, candidates are discouraged from exceeding them	LEAD LEARNER
Word and time limits A candidate is penalised by the awarding body for exceeding	audio/visual resources Awarding body guidance is sought on whether the work of the candidate should be marked where candidate's detailed records acknowledges sources appropriately Where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's records, awarding body guidance is sought and/or a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body for the candidate Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if word or time limits are mandatory Where limits are for guidance only, candidates are discouraged from exceeding them Candidates confirm/record any information provided to them on word or time	LEAD LEARNER
Word and time limits A candidate is penalised by the awarding body for exceeding	audio/visual resources Awarding body guidance is sought on whether the work of the candidate should be marked where candidate's detailed records acknowledges sources appropriately Where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's records, awarding body guidance is sought and/or a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body for the candidate Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if word or time limits are mandatory Where limits are for guidance only, candidates are discouraged from exceeding them	LEAD LEARNER
Word and time limits A candidate is penalised by the awarding body for exceeding	audio/visual resources Awarding body guidance is sought on whether the work of the candidate should be marked where candidate's detailed records acknowledges sources appropriately Where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's records, awarding body guidance is sought and/or a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body for the candidate Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if word or time limits are mandatory Where limits are for guidance only, candidates are discouraged from exceeding them Candidates confirm/record any information provided to them on word or time limits is known and understood	LEAD LEARNER
Word and time limits A candidate is penalised by the awarding body for exceeding word or time limits	audio/visual resources Awarding body guidance is sought on whether the work of the candidate should be marked where candidate's detailed records acknowledges sources appropriately Where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's records, awarding body guidance is sought and/or a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body for the candidate Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if word or time limits are mandatory Where limits are for guidance only, candidates are discouraged from exceeding them Candidates confirm/record any information provided to them on word or time limits is known and understood	SUBJECT TEACHER
Word and time limits A candidate is penalised by the awarding body for exceeding word or time limits Collaboration and group work Candidates have worked in	audio/visual resources Awarding body guidance is sought on whether the work of the candidate should be marked where candidate's detailed records acknowledges sources appropriately Where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's records, awarding body guidance is sought and/or a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body for the candidate Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if word or time limits are mandatory Where limits are for guidance only, candidates are discouraged from exceeding them Candidates confirm/record any information provided to them on word or time limits is known and understood Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to	SUBJECT TEACHER SUBJECT TEACHER
Word and time limits A candidate is penalised by the awarding body for exceeding word or time limits Collaboration and group work Candidates have worked in groups where the awarding body	audio/visual resources Awarding body guidance is sought on whether the work of the candidate should be marked where candidate's detailed records acknowledges sources appropriately Where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's records, awarding body guidance is sought and/or a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body for the candidate Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if word or time limits are mandatory Where limits are for guidance only, candidates are discouraged from exceeding them Candidates confirm/record any information provided to them on word or time limits is known and understood Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if group work is permitted	SUBJECT TEACHER SUBJECT TEACHER LEAD LEARNER
Word and time limits A candidate is penalised by the awarding body for exceeding word or time limits Collaboration and group work Candidates have worked in groups where the awarding body specification states this is not	audio/visual resources Awarding body guidance is sought on whether the work of the candidate should be marked where candidate's detailed records acknowledges sources appropriately Where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's records, awarding body guidance is sought and/or a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body for the candidate Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if word or time limits are mandatory Where limits are for guidance only, candidates are discouraged from exceeding them Candidates confirm/record any information provided to them on word or time limits is known and understood Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to	SUBJECT TEACHER SUBJECT TEACHER LEAD LEARNER DEPUTY
Word and time limits A candidate is penalised by the awarding body for exceeding word or time limits Collaboration and group work Candidates have worked in groups where the awarding body specification states this is not permitted	audio/visual resources Awarding body guidance is sought on whether the work of the candidate should be marked where candidate's detailed records acknowledges sources appropriately Where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's records, awarding body guidance is sought and/or a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body for the candidate Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if word or time limits are mandatory Where limits are for guidance only, candidates are discouraged from exceeding them Candidates confirm/record any information provided to them on word or time limits is known and understood Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if group work is permitted	SUBJECT TEACHER SUBJECT TEACHER LEAD LEARNER
Word and time limits A candidate is penalised by the awarding body for exceeding word or time limits Collaboration and group work Candidates have worked in groups where the awarding body specification states this is not permitted Authentication procedures	audio/visual resources Awarding body guidance is sought on whether the work of the candidate should be marked where candidate's detailed records acknowledges sources appropriately Where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's records, awarding body guidance is sought and/or a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body for the candidate Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if word or time limits are mandatory Where limits are for guidance only, candidates are discouraged from exceeding them Candidates confirm/record any information provided to them on word or time limits is known and understood Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if group work is permitted Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved	SUBJECT TEACHER SUBJECT TEACHER LEAD LEARNER DEPUTY HEADTEACHER
Word and time limits A candidate is penalised by the awarding body for exceeding word or time limits Collaboration and group work Candidates have worked in groups where the awarding body specification states this is not permitted	audio/visual resources Awarding body guidance is sought on whether the work of the candidate should be marked where candidate's detailed records acknowledges sources appropriately Where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's records, awarding body guidance is sought and/or a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body for the candidate Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if word or time limits are mandatory Where limits are for guidance only, candidates are discouraged from exceeding them Candidates confirm/record any information provided to them on word or time limits is known and understood Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if group work is permitted	SUBJECT TEACHER SUBJECT TEACHER LEAD LEARNER DEPUTY

submitted by a candidate for internal assessment	Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments	DEPUTY HEADTEACHER
Candidate plagiarises other material	Candidates confirm/record that they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations for non-examination assessments as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments	TIE O I E (CITEL)
	The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body	
Candidate does not sign their authentication	Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments	SUBJECT TEACHER LEAD LEARNER
statement/declaration	Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Declaration is checked for signature before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment	
Subject teacher not available to sign authentication forms	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to sign authentication forms at the point of marking candidates work as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures	LEAD LEARNER
Presentation of work		
Candidate does not fully complete the awarding body's cover sheet that is attached to their worked submitted for formal assessment	Cover sheet is checked to ensure it is fully completed before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment	SUBJECT TEACHER
Keeping materials secure		
Candidates work between formal	Records confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow current JCQ	SUBJECT TEACHER
supervised sessions is not securely stored	publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments Regular monitoring/internal audit ensures subject teacher use of appropriate	LEAD LEARNER
	secure storage	
Adequate secure storage not	Records confirm adequate/sufficient secure storage is available to subject	SUBJECT TEACHER
available to subject teacher	teacher prior to the start of the course Alternative secure storage sourced where required	LEAD LEARNER SITE STAFF
Candidates work produced	Records confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow current JCQ	
electronically is not securely stored	publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments Internal processes and regular monitoring/internal audit by IT Manager ensures:	
	 access to this material is restricted (insert how) 	
	 appropriate security safeguards are in place (insert names/types of protection) 	
	 an effective back-up strategy is employed so that an up to date archive of candidates' evidence is maintained (insert details of how work is backed up) 	
	any sensitive digital media is encrypted (according to awarding body guidance to ensure that the method of encryption is suitable) to ensure the security of the data stored within it (insert relevant details of how)	
Task marking – externally asse	, ,	
A candidate is absent on the day	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine if alternative assessment	SUBJECT TEACHER
of the examiner visit for an	arrangements can be made for the candidate	EXAMS OFFICER
acceptable reason	If not, eligibility for special consideration is explored and a request submitted to the awarding body where appropriate	
A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an unacceptable reason	The candidate is marked absent on the attendance register	SUBJECT TEACHER
Task marking – internally asse	ssed components	
A candidate submits little or no	Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is recorded as absent	SUBJECT TEACHER
work	when marks are submitted to the awarding body	EXAMS OFFICER
	Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced is assessed against	
	the assessment criteria and a mark allocated appropriately; where the work	

	does not meet any of the assessment criteria a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body	
A candidate is unable to finish	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special	SUBJECT TEACHER
their work for unforeseen reason	consideration process (section 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for shortfall in work	EXAMS OFFICER
The work of a candidate is lost	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication Instructions for conducting	SUBJECT TEACHER
or damaged	non-examination assessments (section 8), to determine eligibility and the	EXAMS OFFICER
3	process to be followed for lost or damaged work	
Candidate malpractice is	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for	EXAMS OFFICER
discovered	conducting non-examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are followed	DEPUTY/HEADTEACH
	Investigation and reporting procedures in the current JCQ publication	R
	Suspected Malpractice : Policies and Procedures are followed	
	Appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are also followed	
A teacher marks the work of a	A conflict of interest is declared by informing the awarding body that a teacher	LEAD LEARNER
candidate with whom they have a	is preparing/teaching said child at the start of the course	
close relationship e.g. members	Marked work of said child is submitted for moderation whether part of the	
of their family (which includes	sample requested or not	
step-family, foster family and		
similar close relationships) or		
close friends and their immediate		
family (e.g. son/daughter)		
An extension to the deadline for	Awarding body is contacted to determine if an extension can be granted	LEAD LEARNER
submission of marks is required	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special	EXAMS OFFICER
for a legitimate reason	consideration process (section 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be	
Ğ	followed for non-examination assessment extension	
After submission of marks, it is	Awarding body is contacted for guidance	LEAD LEARNER
discovered that the wrong task	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special	EXAMS OFFICER
was given to candidates	consideration process (section 2), to determine eligibility and the process to be	
<u> </u>	followed to apply for special consideration for candidates	
A candidate wishes to	Candidates are informed of the marks they have been awarded for their work	SUBJECT TEACHER
appeal/request a review of the	prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body	LEAD LEARNER
marks awarded for their work by	Records confirm candidates have been informed of their marks	DEPUTY
their teacher	Candidates are informed that these marks are subject to change through the	HEADTEACHER
	awarding body's moderation process	
	Candidates are informed of their marks to the timescale identified in the	
	centre's internal appeals procedure and prior to the internal deadline set by	
	the exams officer for the submission of marks	
	Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are made aware of the	
	centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for submitting an	
	appeal/request for a review of the centre's marking prior to the submission of	
	marks to the awarding body	
Deadline for submitting work for	Records confirm deadlines given and understood by candidates at the start of	SUBJECT TEACHER
formal assessment not met by	the course	LEAD LEARNER
candidate	Candidates confirm/record deadlines known and understood	
	Depending on the circumstances, awarding body guidance sought to	
	determine if the work can be accepted late for marking providing the	
	awarding body's deadline for submitting marks can be met	
	Decision made (depending on the circumstances) if the work will be accepted	
	late for marking or a mark of zero submitted to the awarding body for the	
	candidate	
Deadline for submitting marks	Internal/external deadlines are published at the start of each academic year	LEAD LEARNER
and samples of candidates work	Reminders are issued through senior leaders/subject heads as deadlines	DEPUTY
gnored by subject teacher	approach	HEADTEACHER
	Records confirm deadlines known and understood by subject teachers	
	Where appropriate, internal disciplinary procedures are followed	
Subject teacher long term	See centre's Exam Contingency plan (Teaching staff extended absence at key	
absence during the marking	points in the exam cycle)	
	•	